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Last Time

• VoIP, RTP, SIP

This Time

• distributed services
• Bluetooth
• services & agents
• pervasive computing

This Time

• sample services, cont’d:
  • distributed objects
  • Bluetooth

Evolution of a Service

client asks for information which must be dynamically generated by consulting 2 different databases

Evolution of a Service

middleware server knows what computations to do to get the data and then how to generate the required HTML to return to client

HTML client

HTML server

middleware server

backend DB server
**Middleware**

- intermediate layer of software between clients and servers
- mediates useful communication between clients and servers
- often plays role of broker between client and some set of servers that may be able to provide answer
- ideally hiding details from client (at least from user)

**Distributed Objects**

- some requests will be for objects and/or methods that operate on them
- Java has built-in support for this capability
  - requiring every distributed object to itself be written in Java
- what if we want to use objects in other/different environments?
  - like C++, or Ada
  - no single common representation for the objects or their methods

**Distributed Objects**

- what if we want to use objects in other/different environments?
- send request to an object broker
  - middleware that knows about objects available on different platforms
  - broker interacts with server holding objects/methods and receives reply
  - broker packages result for requesting client

**Which Broker?**

- Common Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA) a popular standard for these object brokers

**CORBA**

- a “distributed object” describes services it can provide via Interface Definition Language (IDL)
  - a generic language for describing services
- Object Request Broker, ORB, ‘connects’ clients (requesters) with servers
  - interaction is between ORBs: one on server, one on client
- client-side ORB locates a distributed object sought by client, handles server responses
- server-side ORB registers services, handles requests

* [http://www.omg.org](http://www.omg.org)

**Object Brokers**

- CORBA is not alone
  - but is supported by Apple, IBM, Sun, and others
- Microsoft has different 'standard': Common Object Model (COM)
  - interoperates with CORBA
- analogous middleware used for software agents to locate and use services
  - e.g., CMU’s RETSINA
- hot topic: pervasive computing depends (in part) on locating services and delivering results
Basic Distributed Communication

- mediated by message passing
  - sender
  - receiver
- delivery class:
  - unreliable
  - reliable
- process action:
  - blocking
  - non-blocking

Basic Distributed Communication

- mediated by message passing
  - sender
  - receiver

More Than Just Data

- an application may want to invoke some processing service on a remote system
- use Remote Procedure Call (RPC)
  - should behave to invoker like a local procedure call
  - like a local procedure, has a standard interface with defined type
  - can check type errors during compile
  - can make client and/or server code more portable
  - only re-write 'new' stuff; use same RPC

RPC Issues

- parameter passing
  - call by value is 'straightforward'
  - e.g., sqrt(25.0)

RPC Issues

- parameter passing
  - call by value is 'straightforward'
  - call by reference very awkward
  - e.g., sqrt(x) or strlen(s)
RPC Issues

- parameter passing
- parameter representation
- big-endian vs. little-endian
- ASCII vs EBCDIC vs UNICODE
- may be handled by underlying network, may not

RPC Issues

- parameter passing
- parameter representation
- persistence of binding
- connection between caller and callee: binding
- binding includes state information
- non-persistent: lives only as long as caller and callee interact
- persistent continues after initial call returns
- good if have frequent calls from same caller

RPC Issues

- parameter passing
- parameter representation
- persistence of binding
- timing action:
  - synchronous
  - caller waits for remote procedure to return
  - analogous to blocked send in message passing
  - may prevent efficient exploitation of parallelism

RPC Issues

- parameter passing
- parameter representation
- persistence of binding
- timing action:
  - synchronous
  - asynchronous
  - caller does not wait for remote procedure to return
  - receives replies whenever they are delivered
  - requires synchronization mechanism

RPC Issues

- parameter passing
- parameter representation
- persistence of binding
- distributed objects
  - increasingly, "RPC"-like mechanism used to invoke methods on remote, distributed, objects
  - all-Java approach: Remote Method Invocation (RMI)
  - otherwise use request broker (e.g., CORBA)

Some Other Names

- MSRPC, MSRPC2
  - Microsoft's remote procedure call standard
  - latter often referred to as ORPC because provides support for objects
Some Other Names

- MSRPC, MSRPC2
- SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol
  - supported by w3c
  - designed by Microsoft, IBM, Sun Microsystems...
  - 3 components:
    1. envelope: contents, recipient, status of msg
    2. encoding rules: how to encode for xfer
    3. platform-neutral RPC mechanism for invoking remote procedure

Getting Service

- so far have focused on clients/servers accessed over 'wired' connections
  - requires user (client) to be at 'fixed' location
- fastest growing sector of network services is targeted at services accessible by portable (wireless) devices
  - non-vowel services for cell phones
  - network access at public locations (internet cafe)
  - services for network-capable PDAs

Making Wires Disappear

- the need for cables to interconnect computing devices hampers portability and mobile use
- introduced in 1994, Bluetooth is a cable-replacement technology using short-range RF wireless
- the Bluetooth Special Interest Group (SIG)
  - formed in 1998 to develop open spec for development and deployment of Bluetooth
  - charter members: Ericsson, IBM, Intel, Nokia and Toshiba
  - now also 3Com, Microsoft, Lucent Technologies and Motorola part of "Promoter Group" within SIG

Bluetooth

- standard supporting wide range of devices
  - computing devices: non-portable, portable, PDAs
  - other Bluetooth devices
  - beyond what might originally have been planned,
    e.g., appliances
- key features
  - low power
  - low complexity, ease of use
- named after Harald Blatand, 10th century Danish king, united and controlled Denmark & Norway

Networks: Out of the Blue(tooth)

- Bluetooth supports connections both
  - point-to-point
  - point-to-multipoint
- ≤ 2 units sharing a channel form a piconet
  - one master unit
  - up to 7 slave units
  - one unit can participate in ≥ 1 piconet
    - but can only be one of master or slave per piconet
  - piconets with overlapping coverage areas form a scatternet
**Bluetooth Architecture**

- **APPLICATIONS**
  - TCS, SPP, RFCOMM
- **L2CAP**
- **HCF**
- **LMP**
- **BASEBAND**
- **RADIO**

*Standard Bluetooth architecture model*

**IEEE 802.15 Version**

- **APPLICATIONS/PROFILES**
  - Audio
  - Other
  - RFCOMM
  - Telephony
  - Service Discovery
  - Control

*IEEE 802.15 Version of Bluetooth protocol architecture*

**Bluetooth Radio**

- operates in 2.4 GHZ ISM band (2400 - 2483.5 MHz)
- range from 10m. to 100m. (133 to 328 ft)
- 1 mW to 100 mW transmitting power
- uses frequency-hopping (1600 hops/second)
- TDM for full duplex transmission
- uses GFSK modulation (Gaussian FSK)
- 64 kbps bidirectional on each synchronous voice channel
- 723.2 kbps in // 57.6 kbps out on asynch data chan.
  (or, 433.9 kbps symmetrically)
- RF environment can lead to high error rate

**Bluetooth Radio**

- RF environment can lead to high error rate:
  - e.g., microwave ovens operate at 2450 MHz
  - CVSD coding used for voice
    (withstands high bit error rates)
  - packet headers have highly redundant error correction scheme

**Bluetooth Baseband**

- manages radio layer, including FH sequences
- performs low-level encryption for secure links
- does packet-over-wireless, including error correction
- synchronize units’ clocks
- discovery of nearby units’ addresses
- establishes connections

**Bluetooth Links**

- **Asynchronous Connectionless (ACL)**
  - packet switched connection between master and all active slaves in a piconet
- **Synchronous Connection Oriented (SCO)**
  - dedicated point-to-point link
  - typically used for voice communication
  - symmetric
  - like circuit-switched connection (i.e., a VC)
Bluetooth: Host Controller

- hardware usually used for lower layers:
  - radio
  - baseband
  - LMP
- data to LMP conveyed over some bus to host:
  - requires a host controller interface (HCI) on Bluetooth side
  - requires a driver on host computing device side

Bluetooth: L2CAP

- Logical Link Control and Adaption Protocol
- provides connectionless and connection-oriented services to higher layer protocols
- only supports ACL links
- protocol multiplexing:
  - allow multiple apps to use a link between 2 units simultaneously
  - segmentation & reassembly
    - packets received from apps segmented as needed
    - L2CAP packets are up to 64 Kbytes but baseband's max payload is 2745 bits

Bluetooth: Packets

- generic Bluetooth packet: (sizes in bits)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Size (bits)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>addr code</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>header</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>payload</td>
<td>0-2745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sync seq</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM_ADDR</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2S</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNC</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 bit error check</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFCN 1-bit seq num</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMRN 1-bit ack</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-bit flow ctrl (ACL)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bluetooth: Applications

- L2CAP directly accessible to apps or via support protocols including:
  - RFCOMM (e.g., emulates serial communication)
  - TCS (e.g., telephony)
  - SDP (service discovery protocol)
- apps may use protocols such as TCP/IP or WAP
- app may use SDP to discover if needed service is available from any accessible remote unit

Connecting in a Bluetooth World

- inquiry:
  - upon arrival in a new setting, unit initiates
  - inquiry to locate access points it can reach
  - any that answer provide their addresses
  - unit selects one
- paging:
  - unit invokes baseband procedure 'paging' which synchronizes unit with access point for clock offset and phase in FH, etc.
- link establishment
  - LMP creates link with access point: ACL or SCO, depending on application (e.g., email uses ACL)

Connecting in a Bluetooth World, cont'd

- service discovery:
  - LMP, via SDP, discovers what services access point provides
- L2CAP channel:
  - create a channel with successful result from SDP
  - may be directly used by app, or another protocol may run over it (e.g., RFCOMM)
- RFCOMM channel:
  - created over L2CAP channel if app doesn't use channel directly
Connecting in a Bluetooth World, cont'd

- security:
  - access point may request “pairing” if it restricts access to particular set of users or offers secure communication to previously registered users
  - requires user to provide ‘PIN’ to access service
  - if using secure mode, encryption invoked
  - PIN never transmitted over wireless
  - a key generated therefrom is used/transmitted
- link available for use
  - can run PPP over RFCOMM (emulates serial link)
  - then can run, e.g., TCP/IP

Services, Services Everywhere...

- wireless devices may have range of services to choose from
  - how identify a particular sought service?
  - how does a service make known what it can provide?
  - how do clients and servers understand each other?
  - to match client needs with server services

Services Discovery Problem

- suppose you are a server
  - e.g., offering outside air temperature (OAT)
  - how do you make known what you can and cannot do?
- suppose you are a client that wants
  - e.g., OAT
  - how do you find out
  - if anyone serves what you want
  - if someone does, if you can use what they serve

Agents

- software acting on user’s behalf: agent
  - usually no restriction on how implemented
    - but may want something that can run anywhere
  - agent may:
    - directly, itself perform the needed action, or,
    - have to find other agents to perform the needed action
    - works with them or ‘outsources’ to them
  - need way for:
    - agent to express what it needs
    - resource to advertise what it offers

How Agents Discover Each Other

- ‘whitepages’ directory of agents
- ‘yellowpages’ identifies what agents for what service
  - a.k.a. middle agents

How Agents Discover Each Other
How Agents Discover Each Other

- 'whitespaces' directory of agents
- 'yellowpages' identifies what agents for what service
  - a.k.a. middle agents
- Service Location Protocol (SLP) [RFC2608]
  - three kinds of agents:
    - service agent, SA, sought by
    - user agent, UA, who uses the directory agent, DA to find SAs
    - can, if no DA, support multicast-based UA-DA discovery

How Agents Discover Each Other

- Universal Plug N Play (UPnP):
  - uses XML 'forms' to describe device/resource and services it offers
  - devices register by:
    - get IP address from DHCP server
    - broadcast so a control point notices and responds
    - sends URL to control point with device/resource/service characteristics
    - doesn't cover service invocation
    - devices/services have to figure out how to interact

How Agents Discover Each Other

- Salutation
  - another central database-type registry
  - independent of network protocol (uses transport 'filters')
  - units talk to 'their' SLM (salutation manager)
  - may be part of same unit
  - all inter-client device communication via SLMs
  - SLMs discover each other and exchange registration info
  - SLMs use transport specific Transport Modules (TMs) to achieve independence of transport media
  - device features described as <attribute:value> pairs

How Agents Discover Each Other

- Jini
  - similar to SLP, but absolutely requires a DA
  - DA typically available at wired location
    - i.e., may not be suited to completely mobile application
    - biased in favour of agents written in Java
  - for more info, see:
    - http://www.salutation.org
    - http://www.upnp.org

How Agents Discover Each Other

- Simple Service Discovery Protocol (SSDP)
  - used in CMU's RETSINA system
  - used by agents to discover what resources are available
  - Internet-wide
  - builds ad-hoc agent-to-agent architecture (P2P)
  - 3 multicast msgs:
    - alive: agent announces its presence
    - byesbye: agent announces its departure
    - search for resources: agent requests resources
  - uses UDP
  - uses Gnutella for Internet-wide resource discovery

What Agents Say to Each Other

- need common way of expressing
  - what agent wants when it is requesting
  - what resource offers when it replies
- taxonomy
  - informal hierarchical relationship expression
    - e.g., RetSina::name_of_service OR stock::quote::lb
  - more formal representation: ontology
structured way to represent concepts of a domain

Ontology

classes

properties describe features/attributes of concepts

properties or slots

facets (restrictions on properties)

knowledge base = ontology + instances of classes

some 'standard' ontologies now publicly available

still largely manually created

reference for newcomers to ontologies:

"Ontology Development 101: A Guide to Creating Your First Ontology" Noy and McGuinness; Stanford

Automating Resource Discovery (More)

hand-tuned methods capture

structure between concepts (horizontal, vertical)

semantic quality of concepts

lots of useful information is already in web pages

often that's what an agent is looking for

agents can't read (current) web pages very well

meta-info in current html pages only provides layout information

introduce additional meta-information to describe page's semantic content for agents to read

Semantic web is born

Who Does This Stuff?

a partial list...

U. Maryland at Baltimore

http://www.equality.org

CMU

MIT

U. Washington

Stanford

IBM

http://www.ibm.com/pvc

HP (e.g., CoolTown project)

http://www.hp.com/research/gsl

http://www.cooltown.hp.com

Sun Microsystems

Some Scenarios

look at a few scenarios for new services using wireless

think about what it would take to actually build and implement services like these:

Pervasive Applications

the 'smart airport'

your handheld has your e-ticket number in it

on arrival at airport, it gets from airport services:

• gate for your flight

• departure time

• any advisories or other notices related to flight or destination

• gives you updated summary (user configurable)

• can guide you through airport (directions, map) to where you need to go

• need not involve much specific query interaction with airport services

make client do the work

Pervasive Applications:

smart buildings:

• your hand-held has e-booking for hotel room

• on arrival at hotel, exchange with hotel-server to:

• confirm arrival

• get room assignment

• confirm payment method

• get key to room (in handheld) if locks use IR or Bluetooth

• directions to room from hotel entrance

• notices, advisories, etc, from hotel (e.g., msgs)

• analogously for arriving at, e.g.,

• conference center

• office building

11:00
Pervasive Applications

- users of smart buildings:
  - visitors
  - employees
  - scheduled maintenance work
  - building notes, e.g., new office or restaurant
  - operators
  - access to maintenance functions (get, set)
  - electrics, lights, elevators, HVAC, access points
  - like SNMP, but for building

- help in the kitchen:
  - a wall-mounted display with touch-screen
  - can display recipes
  - can display videos of a recipe being made
  - can check with local grocery store for an
    ingredient you are missing
  - can't cook and clean up (yet)
  - pervasive computing need not be portable
  - computing
  - dedicated device for specific role: kitchen help
  - seamless use of Internet when needed

Pervasive Applications

- and two application areas that are already well
devolved:

Pervasive Applications

- your car:
  - engine, powertrain, brakes all part of on-board
    network
  - standards being developed
    - in the US as J1851 and ODB-II
    - in Europe as Controller Area Network, CAN
  - CAN is
    - bus architecture network, twisted pair bus
    - three classes:
      - A < 10 kbps for 'convenience' features
      - 125 kbps for body electronics + diagnostics
      - 1 Mbps for mission-critical dynamic systems

CAN can

- deliver data up to 1 Mbps
  - but with variable latency
- alternative approach: Time Triggered Protocols
  (TTP):
  - each node assigned explicit time slot
  - latency is constant
  - but fixed: slot assignments cannot be dynamically
    re-assigned
- LIN: Local Interconnect Network
  - Class A serial, single-wire bus
  - for low-cost, low-traffic nodes
  - spec covers phy layer + admit protocols + APIs

CAN and LIN
Pervasive Applications

- elder-care residence: identify residents needing care when/where they need it
  - locator badge
  - embedded weight sensors
  - computer display with touch-screen
data obtained can be used to check against
  - sudden weight change
  - sleeplessness
- databases per resident
  - resident vitals (e.g., BP, weight)
  - sudden changes in values flagged for medical attention

Pervasive Computing Tenets

- resource discovery
- passive broadcast of data
- make client do the work
- knowledge of physical location
  - server or resource
  - client
- secure data exchanges
- information appliances
- make the technology disappear

Pervasive Pitfalls

- don't do it just because you can
  - angry fruit salad
  - user-driven: user must see a useful service not a gee-whiz service that looks great but isn't useful
- pushing the envelope/shooting yourself in the foot
  - pushing tech beyond its practical limits dooms service
  - not just now, but for some time in future

Making It Work

- permanent Internet connectivity
  - whatever value–add non–Internet services provide, users still want the real thing
- more software intervention on user's behalf
  - less direct intervention by users themselves
  - e.g.,
    - stock–watching program; alerts user only under certain pre–arranged conditions
    - synchronous/asynchronous search